

United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Glacier National Park West Glacier, Montana 59936

H30

Memorandum

To: Superintendent

From: Chief, Planning and Compliance

Subject: Review of Roadside Maintenance Guidelines Regarding

The Maintenance Of Vistas, Going-to-the-Sun Road,

Project No. 96-022

A review has been completed of the subject proposal and we have found that there are no anticipated significant cultural or natural resource impacts associated with it.

This proposal involves the maintenance of vistas along the Goingto-the-Sun Road in Glacier National Park through its' 55-mile length.

Vistas were part of the original design intention for the road, and were discussed at length by its' principal designer, Thomas Kitteridge, park landscape architect Ernest Davidson, landscape engineer Tom Vint, and National Park Service Director Stephen Mather. In Mr. Tom Vint's comments on the 1924 survey for the road, he stated, "That the purpose of the road is primarily for the use of tourists and is a means to display to them the park scenery. In a 1928 report from Mr. Davidson, he stated about one of the upper segments of the road, "The securing of good views and vista openings from the road was of minor importance in this section compared to lower sections, because the line is so open that spectacular views are constantly unfolding before the travellers eyes." Many vistas were created as a part of the initial road construction in the 1930's. They have been maintained intermittently since then. Now, many of the vistas have become overgrown, and are in need of maintenance.

This proposal is included in the categorical exclusion to the National Environmental Policy Act contained in 516 DM 2 Appendix 2, 7.4 c4, which states, "Routine maintenance and repairs to cultural resource sites...under an approved Preservation Maintenance Agreement." All of the work in this proposal will

be confined to the previously disturbed area of the road prism. Maintenance will be performed under the vista clearing provisions of the Park's <u>Roadside Maintenance Guideline</u>, approved in 1993. Under this guideline, proposed vista clearing areas will be evaluated from several staff viewpoints including vegetation and wildlife specialists to assure that adverse impacts to natural resources are considered and minimized. No additional documentation under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act are needed.

This proposal was coordinated under the provisions of the Servicewide Programmatic Agreement among the National Park Service, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers, as a programmatic exclusion. It has been reviewed by Mr. Bob Dunkley, a qualified Historical Landscape Architect, and he has concurred with our determination that it will have no effect on the qualities for which the Going-To-The-Sun Road was placed on the National Register of Historic Places. Documentation of this is included in the enclosed Assessment of Effect form. This proposal will not require any additional review as defined in Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

If you agree with this determination and authorize the initiation of this project, please sign the concurrence block below.

James Tilmant

David A. Mihalic

Superintendent

F-2

ASSESSMENT OF EFFECT FORM ROCKY MOUNTAIN REGION

ASSESSMENT OF ACTIONS HAVING AN EFFECT ON CULTURAL RESOURCES

This form is required for all actions that have the potential to affect cultural properties. Attach continuation sheets as necessary

_	
1.	PARK: GLACIER NATIONAL PARK Include Park Subdistrict if applicable
2.	PROJECT TITLE: Maintain Vistas, Going-to-the-Sun Road
	PROJECT NUMBER: 1430-022
	PROJECT LOCATION: UTMs Zone 12: 5400 200N, 296 700 E
	PROJECT TYPE: Planning Design _X Construction
3.	PREPARED BY: Bob Dunkley PHONE: 406 888 5441
4.	
	Buildings X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
If	Yes: Results of survey X No resources identified in project area X Identified properties already determined eligible or listed on the National Register of Historic Places (list) Identified properties for which a Determination of Eligibility is needed (list). Attach supporting documentation. The Coincate the Sun Road is listed on the National Register of Historic
	Places as a National Civil Engineering Landmark, and is maintained by the National Park Service as a premiere cultural resource. Because of its' significance in the history of road-building in the National Park Service, and its' integrity, the Going-to-the-Sun Road has been listed as the type example in a draft National Historic Landmark Theme Study entiltled. The Twentieth Century Landscape Park: Landmarks of National Park Service Landscape Architecture, by Ethan Carr, 1996.
If :	No: Is survey scheduled? Yes No Date
5.	IDENTIFICATION DOCUMENTATION: 1) Level of Survey Work: Intensive Reconnaissance Sample Excavated
	2) File search: CSI State SHPO Other
	 Report(s) Reference(s) [Include Author(s), date and title]
6.	Description of proposed undertaking(s). Include rationale for the undertaking.
	This proposal involves the maintenance of vista clearings along the Going- to-the-Sun Road through its' 55-mile length. Vistas were a part of the original design intention, and were created when the road was constructed in the 1930's. They have been maintained intermittently since then. Many of the vistas have become overgrown, and are in need of maintenance.

7.	Description of impacts of the undertaking on the resources identified item 4.			
	The proposed clearing will have the effect of restoring historic vistas to the Going-to-the-Sun Road.			
8.	MITIGATION:			
	 Proposed mitigation and any special stipulations: 			
	None proposed.			
	2) Is the mitigation work scheduled? Yes No N/A _X			
If ye	s, scheduled with: Region Archeological Center Other			
	3) Will fabric or artifacts be accessioned into park collection? Yes No N/AX			
If ye	s, list objects to be curated.			
9.	The proposed action will (check as many as apply): 1) FABRIC: Destroy historic fabric. Remove historic fabric in kind. Add nonhistoric elements to a historic structure. Remove nonhistoric elements from a historic structure.			
	2) HISTORIC SCENE: Alter historic terrain, groundcover, or vegetation Introduce nonhistoric elements (visible, audible, or atmospheric) into a historic setting or environment Reintroduce historic elements in historic setting or environment Remove historic elements from a historic environment Remove nonhistoric elements from a historic environment			
	ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Disturb, destroy, impair, or render inaccessible archeological (surface or subsurface) resources Possibly disturb presently unidentified archeological resource or historic fabric			
	4) ETHNOGRAPHIC RESOURCES: Disturb, impair, alter or render inaccessible ethnographic resources Introduce inappropriate elements (visible, audible, or atmospheric) Possibly disturb presently unidentified ethnographic resources			
	5) OTHER: Incur gradual deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, or setting. Involve a land transaction, sale, or lease. Other (Describe briefly): Restore vistas.			
10.	Documentation attached: REQUIRED: (X) maps, () preliminary design or construction documents, () photographs, () Scope-of-Work, () Inventory forms, () National Register forms () Archeological Survey Map, () Product samples, () Other:			

planning document and pertinent page numbers. 2) Undertaking meets requirements for programmatic exclusion. IV-B.2 "Routine grounds maintainance, such as grass cutting and tree trimming."			
planning document and pertinent page numbers. 2) Undertaking meets requirements for programmatic exclusion. IV-B.2 "Routine grounds maintainance, such as grass cutting and tree trimming." B. Other Memorandum(a) of Agreement or Programmatic Agreements. Identify agreement, including specific exclusion(s): 12. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT (If undertaking will have an adverse effect, identify organizations and groups that have been contacted). 13. NATIVE AMERICAN CONSULTATION Necessary If necessary identify organizations and individuals that have been contacted: 14. Recommended Determination of Effect Adverse Effect I certify that the work proposed meets the guidelines contained in NPS-28 and that the proposal incorporates all feasible measures to minimize adverse effects on cultural resources. After a superior contained in NPS-28 and that the proposal incorporates all feasible measures to minimize adverse effects on cultural resources.	11.		
IV-B.2 "Routine grounds maintainance, such as grass cutting and tree trimming." B. Other Memorandum(a) of Agreement or Programmatic Agreements. Identify agreement, including specific exclusion(s): 12. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT (If undertaking will have an adverse effect, identify organizations and groups that have been contacted). 13. NATIVE AMERICAN CONSULTATION X Not necessary Necessary If necessary identify organizations and individuals that have been contacted: 14. Recommended Determination of Effect. X No Effect No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect I certify that the work proposed meets the guidelines contained in NPS-28 and that the proposal incorporates all feasible measures to minimize adverse effects on cultural resources.			 Undertaking included in an approved plan under PA (name of planning document and pertinent page numbers.
B. Other Memorandum(a) of Agreement or Programmatic Agreements. Identify agreement, including specific exclusion(s): 12. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT (If undertaking will have an adverse effect, identify organizations and groups that have been contacted). 13. NATIVE AMERICAN CONSULTATION X Not necessary Necessary If necessary identify organizations and individuals that have been contacted: 14. Recommended Determination of Effect. X No Effect No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect I certify that the work proposed meets the guidelines contained in NPS-28 and that the proposal incorporates all feasible measures to minimize adverse effects on cultural resources.			2) Undertaking meets requirements for programmatic exclusion.
Identify agreement, including specific exclusion(s): 12. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT (If undertaking will have an adverse effect, identify organizations and groups that have been contacted). 13. NATIVE AMERICAN CONSULTATIONXNot necessaryNecessary If necessary identify organizations and individuals that have been contacted: 14. Recommended Determination of EffectXNo EffectNo Adverse Effect Adverse Effect I certify that the work proposed meets the guidelines contained in NPS-28 and that the proposal incorporates all feasible measures to minimize adverse effects on cultural resources.			IV-B.2 "Routine grounds maintainance, such as grass cutting and tree trimming."
organizations and groups that have been contacted). 13. NATIVE AMERICAN CONSULTATION X Not necessary — Necessary If necessary identify organizations and individuals that have been contacted: 14. Recommended Determination of Effect. X No Effect — No Adverse Effect — Adverse Effect I certify that the work proposed meets the guidelines contained in NPS-28 and that the proposal incorporates all feasible measures to minimize adverse effects on cultural resources.		В.	Other Memorandum(a) of Agreement or Programmatic Agreements. Identify agreement, including specific exclusion(s):
	12.	PUBLIC organ:	C INVOLVEMENT (If undertaking will have an adverse effect, identify izations and groups that have been contacted).
14. Recommended Determination of Effect. X No Effect No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect I certify that the work proposed meets the guidelines contained in NPS-28 and that the proposal incorporates all feasible measures to minimize adverse effects on cultural resources. RF 18 8-9-96	13.		
X No Effect No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect I certify that the work proposed meets the guidelines contained in NPS-28 and that the proposal incorporates all feasible measures to minimize adverse effects on cultural resources. R7 4 8-9-96	If ne	cessary	y identify organizations and individuals that have been contacted:
that the proposal incorporates all feasible measures to minimize adverse effects on cultural resources. $RF = \frac{8-9-96}{2}$	14.	Recomm	mended Determination of Effect. No Effect No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect
RF & 8-9-96 Superintendent Date	that t	he pro	posal incorporates all feasible measures to minimize adverse effects
	Superi	intende	R7 & 8-9-96 Date